

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,873	08/04/2003	Stephen J. Hudgens	ITO.0048US (P16245)	5270
7590 10/05/2005			EXAMINER	
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.			SEFER, AHMED N	
STE 100 8554 KATY FV	VY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HOUSTON, TX 77024-1841			2826	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\mathbf{W}'$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/633,873	HUDGENS, STEPHEN J.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	A. Sefer	2826	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be a search patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07	7 July 200 <u>5</u> .		
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☒ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-10 and 26-30 is/s 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 11-25 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	are withdrawn from conside	ration.	
Application Papers	aren eneemen requirement		
·	inor		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a		by the Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t		-	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr		, ,	).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur  * See the attached detailed Office action for a line.	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have beer eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No I received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/3/2003</u>.</li> </ol>	_	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 10/633,873 Page 2

Art Unit: 2826

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I (claims 11-25) in the reply filed on 7/11/2005 is acknowledged.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

  (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 11, 12, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sato et al. ("Sato") JP 2001-266406.

Sato discloses in figs. 1-8 a phase change material comprising: a chalcogenide 3; a species introduced into the chalcogenide material or Ge.sub.2Sb.sub.2Te.sub.5 (as in claim 12) including nitrogen (as in claim 14); and a species including titanium (as in claim 15) introduced into the chalcogenide (pars. 0020, 0025 and 0028-0029 of machine translated document).

4. Claims 11, 12, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Horie et al. ("Horie") US PG-Pub 2003/0214857.

Horie discloses (fig. 4 and par. 0194) a phase change material comprising: a chalcogenide 3; a species including nitrogen (as in claim 14) introduced into the chalcogenide material or

Application/Control Number: 10/633,873

Art Unit: 2826

Ge.sub.2Sb.sub.2Te.sub.5 (as in claim 12); and a species including titanium (as in claim 15) introduced into the chalcogenide (pars. 0077 and 0147).

Note that although the prior art meets the claim limitations "to increase crystallization speed"/"to reduce grain size" recited in claim 11, claims directed to an apparatus must distinguish from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function, In re Schreiber, 128 F.3d 1473, 1477-78, 44 USPQ2d 1429, 1431-32 (Fed. Cir. 1997); See also In re Swinehart, 439 F.2d210, 212-13, 169 USPQ 226, 228-29 (CCPA 1971; In re Danly, 263, F.2d 844, 847, 120 USPQ 528, 531 (CCPA 1959).

5. Claims 16, 17, 19-21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sato.

Sato discloses in figs. 1-8 a device or a semiconductor memory (as in claim 21) comprising: a substrate 6; and a layer of chalcogenide material 3 including Ge.sub.2Sb.sub.2Te.sub.5 (as in claim 17) over said substrate, said chalcogenide material including a species including nitrogen (as in claim 19) and a species including titanium (as in claim 20) (pars. 0020, 0025 and 0028-0029 of machine translated document).

Regarding claim 22, Sato discloses an insulator 7 over said substrate and under said chalcogenide material.

6. Claims 16, 17, 19 and 21-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Horie.

Horie discloses (fig. 4 and pars. 0194 and par. 0077) a device or a semiconductor memory (as in claim 21) comprising: a substrate; and a layer of chalcogenide material 3

Art Unit: 2826

including Ge.sub.2Sb.sub.2Te.sub.5 (as in claim 17) over said substrate, said chalcogenide material including nitrogen (as in claim 19); and a species including titanium (as in claim 20).

Note that although the prior art meets the claim limitations "to increase crystallization speed"/"to reduce grain size" recited in claim 16, claims directed to an apparatus must distinguish from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function, In re Schreiber, 128 F.3d 1473, 1477-78, 44 USPQ2d 1429, 1431-32 (Fed. Cir. 1997); See also In re Swinehart, 439 F.2d210, 212-13, 169 USPQ 226, 228-29 (CCPA 1971; In re Danly, 263, F.2d 844, 847, 120 USPQ 528, 531 (CCPA 1959).

Regarding claim 22, Horie discloses an insulator 10 over said substrate and under said chalcogenide material.

Regarding claim 23, Horie discloses a heater extending 4 through said insulator to said chalcogenide material to heat said chalcogenide material.

Regarding claim 24, Horie discloses in fig. 4 titanium containing layer under said chalcogenide material.

Regarding claim 25 Horie discloses titanium containing layer being sufficiently proximate to said chalcogenide material that titanium may diffuse into the phase change material upon heating.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 5

8. Claims 13 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sato/Horie.

Sato/Horie discloses the device structure as recited in the claim, but fails to disclose the recited grain size range. However, it was within one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, therefore would have been obvious, to meet the recited grain size range, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or working ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233. Furthermore, the specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claimed arrangement or any unexpected results arising therefrom. Where patentability is said to be based upon particular chosen dimensions or upon another variable recited in a claim, the applicant must show that the chosen dimensions are critical. In re Woodruff, 919 F.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d 1934, 1936 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to A. Sefer whose telephone number is (571) 272-1921.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (571) 272-1915.

Application/Control Number: 10/633,873

Art Unit: 2826

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

ANS

September 30, 2005

NATHAN J. FLYNN

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

#ECHAPLOGY CENTER 2800